Feedback Staff Consultation - Summary from Individual Staff consultations with 11 Staff (held $8^{th}/9^{th}$ November)

| Issue | No. Staff Comments |
|---|--------------------|
| Diocese | |
| -Feeling that diocese hasn't done enough to support the school | 4 |
| -Lack of transparency about future for the school e.g no intention to | |
| replace previous Head with a permanent H/T but this wasn't shared | |
| with staff | |
| Communication | |
| -Needed earlier more transparent communication from an earlier | 2 |
| stage. If communication had been earlier this would have fell more into | |
| the timeframe outlined in the 'Opening and Closing Maintained | |
| Schools' Statutory Guidance. | |
| As to exact the other will be added to define a constitution of the other parts. | • |
| -Anxious as to how they will be advised of the committee meeting. Do | 3 |
| not want to find this out through social media. Finding out the 31st December date in local paper disrespectful | |
| December date in local paper disrespection | |
| -Support from colleagues at Aspire was recognised and appreciated | 1 |
| | |
| Community | |
| -Damage caused to parents, children and community. Lack of respect to | 3 |
| parents and staff by not being transparent at an earlier stage. Situation | |
| could have been turned round. Parents lost faith | |
| Financial Viability | |
| -Not now financially viable but this could have been different if dealt | 4 |
| with at an earlier stage | |
| | |